

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Law of Induction \*\*\*

Used when we wish to prove a statement  $S(n)$  is valid for all  $n \geq n_0$  (usually  $n_0 = 0$  or  $1$ )

The steps are as follows:

1. Base case:  $S(n_0)$  to prove
2. Induction Hypothesis: Assume  $S(n)$  is valid for an arbitrary  $n \geq n_0$ . Then prove that  $S(n+1)$  is valid.

### Example 1.1

Prove that  $2^n > n$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* By induction:

Base case:  $2^1 = 2 > 1$ , true.

Induction Hypothesis: Assume  $2^n > n$  for an arbitrary  $n \geq 1$ .

$$2^{n+1} = 2(2^n) >_{IH} 2(n) = n + n \geq n + 1$$

Thus, the induction implies that  $2^n > n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . □

## 1.2 Proof by Contradiction \*\*

Used when we wish to prove that  $P \implies Q$ . We assume not  $Q$ , and prove not  $P$ .

### Note

$P \implies Q$  is equivalent to not  $Q \implies$  not  $P$ .

## 1.3 Rationals vs Irrationals

A real number  $x$  is rational if there are integers  $p, q$  with  $x = \frac{p}{q}$ ,  $q \neq 0$ , and  $\frac{p}{q}$  is in reduced form (no common nontrivial divisor of  $p$  and  $q$ )

$\frac{8}{6}$  is not in reduced form:  $\frac{4}{3}$  is.

The irrational numbers are all non-rationals.

### Example 1.2

Prove that  $\sqrt{5}$  is irrational.

*Proof.* By contradiction: Assume  $\sqrt{5} = \frac{p}{q}$  in reduced form,  $p, q$  integers.

Then,  $p = \sqrt{5}q$ , so  $p^2 = 5q^2$ , so  $5|p^2$ , so  $5|p$  (by arithmetic laws)

Let  $5r = p$ , with  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . then  $5q^2 = p^2 = 25r^2$ , so  $q^2 = 5r^2$ , so  $5|q$

Then,  $5|p$  and  $5|q$ , so  $\frac{p}{q}$  is not in reduced form. Contradiction. □

## 1.4 Upper bounds

### Definition 1.3

A set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  has an **upper bound**  $b$  if  $b \geq x$  for all  $x$  in  $S$ .

A set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  has a **lower bound**  $c$  if  $c \leq x$  for all  $x$  in  $S$ .

**Definition 1.4**

If  $b$  is the smallest upper bound of  $S$ , then  $b$  is the **least upper bound** of  $S$  (lub  $S$ ), which is the supremum of  $S$  ( $\sup S$ ).

If  $c$  is the largest lower bound of  $S$ , then  $c$  is the **greatest lower bound** of  $S$  (glb  $S$ ), which is the infimum of  $S$  ( $\inf S$ ).

**Axiom 1.5** (Completeness Axiom / Least Upper Bound Axiom)

If  $S$  has an upper bound,  $S$  has a  $\sup S$ .

If  $S$  has a lower bound,  $S$  has an  $\inf S$ .

**Example 1.6**

$S_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^2 < 2\}$ ,  $\sup S_1 = \sqrt{2}$ ,  $\inf S_1 = -\sqrt{2}$

$S_2 = \text{rationals} < 1$ .  $\sup S_2 = 1$ ,  $\inf S_2$  does not exist. (The supremum and infimum must be numbers)

$S_3 = \text{irrationals} < 1$ .  $\sup S_3 = 1$ ,  $\inf S_3$  does not exist.

$S_4 = \{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\sup S_4 = 1$ ,  $\inf S_4 = 0$

$S_5 = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .  $\sup S_5$  does not exist,  $\inf S_5 = 1$

**1.5 Archimedean Property \*\*\***

**Property 1.7** (Archimedean Property)

For any  $c > 0$ , there is an integer  $n > c$ .

Equivalently, for any  $c > 0$ , there is an integer  $n$  with  $0 < \frac{1}{n} < c$ .

**Theorem 1.8**

Let  $a < b$ . Then there is a rational number in  $(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $0 < a < b$ . So,  $b - a > 0$ .

By the Archimedean Property, there is an integer  $n$  with  $0 < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{b-a}{2}$ .

Then,  $\frac{2}{n} < b - a$ , so  $a + \frac{2}{n} < b$ , so  $a < a + \frac{1}{n} < a + \frac{2}{n} < b$ .

Note that  $(a + \frac{2}{n}) - (a + \frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{n}$ , and so because there is a gap of length  $\frac{1}{n}$ , there must be some  $\frac{k}{n}$  in  $[a + \frac{1}{n}, a + \frac{2}{n}] \subseteq (a, b)$

If  $a < b < 0$ , then just look at  $0 < -b < -a$ , and find the rational in  $(-b, -a)$

If  $a < 0 < b$ , then 0 is the rational. □