## 9 Scheduling, Interval Partitioning

- Scheduling
- Divide and Conquer


### 9.1 Scheduling

Interval scheduling: Given $n$ requests with starting times $s_{i}$ and finishing times $f_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$. A subset of requests is compatible if no two intervals $\left[s_{i}, f_{i}\right]$ overlap.

Our goal is to find a largest possible compatible subset (with respect to the number of requests).
Example 9.1


Here, $\{1,5,6\}$ and $\{2,5,6\}$ are optimal solutions.

How can we be greedy in this problem? We could:

- Choose shortest activity first
- Take earliest finishing activity first (optimal)
- Take earliest activity first

GreedyIntervalSchedule (s, f):
sort tasks by increasing order of finish times
let A be an empty set
let f_prev $=-$ infty
for $\mathrm{i}=1$ to n
if s_i $>$ f_prev then
add task i to A
set $\mathrm{f} \_$prev $=\mathrm{f} \_\mathrm{i}$
endif
endfor
This algorithm takes time $O(n \log n)$.
But why is the algorithm correct?
Firstly, there are no conflicts since we only schedule a task that starts after the previous task finished.
We will show that the number of tasks is maximal by an exchange argument. (Can also show this algorithm "stays ahead" - in book)

## Theorem 9.2

GreedyIntervalSchedule outputs an optimal schedule.

Proof. Let $G=\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{k}\right)$ be the greedy schedule.
Let $B=\left(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{l}\right)$ be an optimal schedule $(l \geq k)$.
Let $j$ be the first index where the schedules differ:
$G=\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{j-1}, g_{j}, \cdots, g_{k}\right)$
$B=\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{j-1}, b_{j}, \cdots, b_{l}\right)$
Switch $B$ to $B^{\prime}=\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{j-1}, g_{j}, b_{j+1}, \cdots, b_{l}\right)$
By the greedy choice, $f_{g_{j}} \leq f_{b_{j}}$, so this schedule still has no conflicts, and it is just as long (still has $l$ intervals).

Repeating this process, we get a schedule $\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{k}, b_{k+1}, \cdots, b_{l}\right)$.
If $l>k$, then $b_{k+1}$ is an index of an interval that the greedy algorithm could have scheduled. But it didn't, so $l=k$.

### 9.2 Interval Partitioning

Suppose we must schedule all intervals (given by starting and finishing times) while minimizing the number of resources used (number of classrooms used).

Definition 9.3
The depth of a set of intervals is $\max _{t}\left|\left\{i \in\{i, \cdots, n\}: t \in\left[s_{i}, f_{i}\right]\right\}\right|$ i.e., we wish find the largest number of overlapping subsets at any time $t$.

Our greedy strategy is that we scan through the intervals in increasing order of start time. Assign each to any available resource from $\{1, \cdots$, depth $\}$.

## Theorem 9.4

This algorithm assigns a color from $\{1, \cdots$, depth $\}$ to every interval and no two overlapping inttervals have the same color.

Proof. When we assign a color, it is different from the colors of all overlapping intervals scheduled so far, so we never assign the same color to overlapping intervals.

Suppose when we are considering interval $i$, it overlaps $t$ previous intervals.
So there are $t+1$ overlapping intervals. This means the depth is $\geq t+1$.
Then $t \leq$ depth -1 , so there is always a color available.

