## 15 Knapsack

- Dynamic Programming
- Knapsack
- Sequence Alignment

In general, a dynamic programming algorithm works by choosing a set of subproblems and relating them through some recurrence.

To be efficient, we need:

- Only polynomially many subproblems
- The solution of a problem should be efficiently computable from the solutions of its subproblems
- We can express the solution in terms of a reurrence involving only "smaller" subproblems

## 15.1 Knapsack

We are given n items, where item i has a positive integer weight  $w_i$  (for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ).

We are given an upper bound W on the total weight we can fit into our knapsack.

Our goal is to find a subset  $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  that maximizes the total weight  $\sum_{i \in S} w_i$  subject to the constraint that  $\sum_{i \in S} w_i \leq W$ .

To give a dynamic programming algorithm, what should the subproblems be?

The natural approach would be to just consider items  $\{1, \dots, j\}$ . Let  $opt(j) = optimal solution on items <math>\{1, \dots, j\}$ . Starting from the last item: is item *n* part of the optimal solution?

- If not, then opt(n) = opt(n-1).
- If yes, then  $opt(n) = w_n + opt(n-1)$  with a lower weight limit  $W w_n$ .

Our subproblems are not rich enough to express this idea of a different weight limit!

Instead, let opt(j, w) be the optimal solutions on items  $\{1, \dots, j\}$  with weight limit w.

Our goal is to compute opt(n, W).

We ask if item j is part of the optimal solution on items  $\{1, \dots, j\}$  with total weight  $\leq w$ ?

- If not, then opt(j, w) = opt(j 1, w)
- If yes, then  $opt(j, w) = w_j + opt(j 1, w w_j)$ .

We set the base case here to be opt(0, w) = 0 for any w.

Recurrence:

$$\operatorname{opt}(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{w}) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{opt}(j-1, w) & \text{if } w_j > w \\ \max\{\operatorname{opt}(j-1, w), w_j + \operatorname{opt}(j-1, w - w_j)\} & \text{if } w_j \le w \end{cases}$$

```
Knapsack(n, W):
```

endif endfor return M[n, W]

Running time:  $O(n \cdot W)$  since the body of the loop takes time O(1).

This algorithm is linear in n, but exponential in  $\log W$ .